

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/783,953 | KUO, YI-LUNG | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Devin Hanan | 3745 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: pending/allowable

 (1) Devin Hanan.

(3) _____.

 (2) Robert Hulse.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 October 2005
Time: 230 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
none
Claims discussed:
claims 5-9
Prior art documents discussed:
none
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Claims were previously drawn to a fan assembly. New claims 5-9 claimed a personal computer. Alternative language was discussed to replace "a personal computer". See examiner's amendment.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)